

# The complaint

Mrs M complains that Mercedes-Benz Financial Services UK Limited imposed unfair charges for damage to a car which she returned at the end of a finance agreement.

## What happened

The details of this complaint are well known to both parties, so I won't repeat them again here. The facts are not in dispute so instead I'll focus on giving the reasons for my decision.

## What I've decided – and why

I've considered all the available evidence and arguments to decide what's fair and reasonable in the circumstances of this complaint.

Having done so, I agree with the conclusions reached by the investigator for the following reasons:

Our investigator has set out at some length the various items of damage and I won't repeat that detail here. In summary Mrs M entered into an agreement under which she agreed to pay for any damage which went beyond fair wear and tear. MBFS provides a booklet setting out what is deemed to be fair wear and tear. This is broadly in line with the British Vehicle Rental & Leasing Association (BVRLA) Fair Wear and Tear Guide. This service relies on the BVRLA guide regardless of whether the business makes use of it.

The sum charged was £1,646 which include £25 for a missing V5 which was later removed. MBFS also removed charges relating to the front bumper alignment and a scratch on the right rear door. The reduced sum due was  $\pounds1,371$ .

Our investigator examined the report and was satisfied that the revised damage charges were fair save for one item. She was concerned that the sum charged for replacing a headlight was excessive. MBFS confirmed an average cost had been used and the actual cost of replacing it was £287.77 as opposed to £746.

MBFS has agreed that cost should be reduced and I regard that as fair. I am satisfied that the other areas of damage are chargeable.

## Putting things right

MBFS should reduce the end of agreement charges as set out below.

### My final decision

My final decision is that I uphold this complaint and I direct Mercedes-Benz Financial Services UK Limited to reduce the sum chargeable to for the headlight to £287.77.

Under the rules of the Financial Ombudsman Service, I'm required to ask Mrs M to accept or reject my decision before 28 March 2022.

lvor Graham **Ombudsman**