

Complaint

Mr B has complained about a loan AvantCredit of UK, LLC (“Avant Credit”) provided to him. He says the loan was unaffordable.

Background

Avant Credit provided Mr B with a loan for £6,100.00 in January 2016. This loan was due to be repaid in 60 monthly instalments of just under £220. Our adjudicator reviewed Mr B’s complaint and thought Avant Credit shouldn’t have provided Mr B with this loan. Avant Credit disagreed so the case was passed to an ombudsman for a final decision.

My findings

I’ve considered all the available evidence and arguments to decide what’s fair and reasonable in the circumstances of this complaint.

We’ve explained how we handle complaints about unaffordable and irresponsible lending on our website. And I’ve used this approach to help me decide Mr B’s complaint.

Avant Credit needed to make sure it didn’t lend irresponsibly. In practice, what this means is Avant Credit needed to carry out proportionate checks to be able to understand whether Mr B could afford to repay any credit it provided. Our website sets out what we typically think about when deciding whether a lender’s checks were proportionate. Generally, we think it’s reasonable for a lender’s checks to be less thorough – in terms of how much information it gathers and what it does to verify it – in the early stages of a lending relationship.

But we might think it needed to do more if, for example, a borrower’s income was low or the amount lent was high. And the longer the lending relationship goes on, the greater the risk of it becoming unsustainable and the borrower experiencing financial difficulty. So we’d expect a lender to be able to show that it didn’t continue to lend to a customer irresponsibly.

The information Avant Credit has provided suggested that Avant Credit carried out a credit check, which clearly showed Mr B was already significantly indebted. He was already over his credit limit on two of his revolving credit facilities and right at the limit on two more. While Avant Credit says this loan was to consolidate these debts, the amount lent was significantly less than what was owed. So it’s unclear to me how or what was going to be consolidated and more crucially how this was going to improve Mr B’s financial position.

Equally, while it’s possible Mr B existing precarious financial position was through choice rather than because he was struggling, I’d add that my experience of these types of cases suggest this is unlikely, in the absence of any reasonable or plausible arguments from Avant Credit, I’ve been persuaded to accept Mr B’s version of events.

As this is the case, I think that Mr B’s existing debts meant he was unlikely to be able to afford the payments to this loan, without undue difficulty or borrowing further. So I’m satisfied reasonable and proportionate checks would more like than not have shown Avant Credit that

it shouldn't have provided this loan to Mr B. As Avant Credit provided Mr B with this loan, notwithstanding this, I'm satisfied it failed to act fairly and reasonably towards him.

Mr B has ended up paying (and is still being expected to pay) interest, fees and charges on a loan he shouldn't have been provided with. So I'm satisfied that Mr B lost out because of what Avant Credit did wrong and that it should put things right.

Fair compensation – what Avant Credit needs to do to put things right for Mr B

Having thought about everything, Avant Credit should put things right for Mr B by:

- removing all interest, fees and charges applied to the loan from the outset. The payments Mr B made, whether to Avant Credit or any third-party debt purchaser, should be deducted from the new starting balance – the £6,100.00 originally lent. If Mr B has already repaid more than £6,100.00 then Avant Credit should treat any extra as overpayments. And any overpayments should be refunded to Mr B;
- adding interest at 8% per year simple on any overpayments, if any, from the date they were made by Mr B to the date of settlement†
- if no outstanding balance remains after all adjustments have been made, all adverse information Avant Credit recorded about this loan should be removed from Mr B's credit file.

† HM Revenue & Customs requires Avant Credit to take off tax from this interest. Avant Credit must give Mr B a certificate showing how much tax it has taken off if he asks for one.

I'd also remind Avant Credit of its obligation to exercise forbearance and due consideration if it intends to collect on an outstanding balance, should it buy the debt back from any third-party debt purchaser and one remains, after all adjustments have been made to the account and it's the case that Mr B is experiencing financial difficulty.

My final decision

For the reasons I've explained, I'm upholding Mr B's complaint. AvantCredit of UK, LLC should put things right in the way I've set out above.

Under the rules of the Financial Ombudsman Service, I'm required to ask Mr B to accept or reject my decision before 6 May 2022.

Jeshen Narayanan
Ombudsman