

## The complaint

Mrs S complains about charges Mitsubishi HC Capital UK PLC , trading as Novuna Vehicle Solutions, (Novuna) applied for damage at the end of her hire agreement. She would like these charges waived.

## What happened

The details of this complaint are well known to both parties so I won't repeat them again here, instead I will focus on giving the reasons for my decision.

## What I've decided - and why

I've considered all the available evidence and arguments to decide what's fair and reasonable in the circumstances of this complaint.

Having done so I have reached the following conclusions: -

- I appreciate Mrs S's frustration. Aware she would be charged for damage over and above fair wear and tear she arranged for a scratch on the back bumper and a dent on the driver's door to be repaired at a cost of £600. So, she wasn't expecting to be charged on return of her car.
- Novuna applies British Vehicle Rental and Leasing standards (BVRLA) when
  assessing fair wear and tear damage. This is industry standard practice. I have noted
  that Mrs S signed to say she didn't agree with the report findings when the vehicle
  was collected. The vehicle was subsequently re-examined and, as a result, Novuna
  removed a charge of £140 for the rear door. I think this shows Novuna was open to
  reviewing and altering its charges as appropriate. I understand it also reduced the
  overall bill by £150 as a gesture of goodwill.
- I appreciate Mrs S's feels that using inspection tools such as zebra boards go
  beyond what a consumer might expect in terms of a car inspection. However, I have
  seen the report and photos contained in it. Whilst the tools used help to pinpoint the
  areas of concern, the photos do indicate a dull/rippled effect to the paintwork on the
  rear bumper and preparation marks to the paintwork on the front right door. Both of
  which give a poor finish and repair. I do think under BVRLA guidelines that Novuna is
  entitled to charge for these.
- I appreciate Mrs S got the car repaired in good faith, but I can't agree she wasn't
  advised of what she needed to do. The end of contract options letter Novuna sent
  explained that the car would be independently assessed using BVRLA guidelines, it
  provided a link to the BVRLA website and, a letter sent with hire agreement also
  gave the same information.
- I also appreciate Mrs S said she didn't have the opportunity to go back to the garage
  that carried out the repairs when problems were identified. She could have done this
  when issues were identified on the inspection on collection, but I appreciate this
  would have meant incurring extra costs due to a delay in returning the car. She

- could consider raising with the repairing garage, as Novuna has suggested, which might be able to provide her some redress
- Unfortunately, I don't feel I can ask Novuna to waive the charges it has applied. From
  what I have seen these have been fairly applied according to BVRLA guidelines and
  Mrs S was aware these were the guidelines that would be used.

## My final decision

My final decision is that I don't uphold this complaint

Under the rules of the Financial Ombudsman Service, I'm required to ask Ms S to accept or reject my decision before 11 August 2025.

Bridget Makins
Ombudsman