

## complaint

This complaint is about a credit card payment protection insurance (PPI) policy taken out in 2002. Mr Y says Lloyds Bank Plc (trading at the time as Lloyds TSB) mis-sold him the PPI.

## my findings

I've considered all the available evidence and arguments to decide what is fair and reasonable in the circumstances of this complaint.

We've set out our general approach to complaints about the sale of PPI on our website and I've taken this into account in deciding Mr Y's case.

I've decided the policy wasn't mis-sold because:

- I think Lloyds made it clear that Mr Y didn't have to take out the PPI and he chose to take it out – although I can understand why he can't remember this. I say this because Lloyds has given me a copy of the credit card agreement completed by Mr Y. There is an option to select PPI under the '*Optional Features*' section – this box has been ticked. Mr Y has also signed the agreement, so I think this reflected what he wanted. If Mr Y didn't want the PPI he could've selected the 'no' option or questioned what this was prior to agreeing to take the PPI.
- Lloyds recommended the PPI to Mr Y so it had to check that the PPI was right for him – and based on what I've seen of his circumstances at the time, I think that it was. For example he wasn't affected by any of the exclusions to or limits on the PPI cover and he seems to have had a need for the cover.
- It's possible the information Lloyds gave Mr Y about the PPI wasn't as clear as it should've been. But he chose to take it out - so it looks like he wanted this type of cover. And it seems like it would have been useful for him if something went wrong. It also looks like it was affordable. So I don't think better information about the PPI would have put him off taking out the cover.
- Which means Lloyds doesn't have to pay back all of the cost of the PPI to Mr Y.

But Lloyds will pay back *some* of the cost of the PPI to Mr Y because:

- When the policy was sold, Lloyds expected to get a high level of commission and profit share (more than 50% of the PPI premium) - so it should have told Mr Y about that. Because Lloyds didn't tell Mr Y, that was unfair.
- To put that right, Lloyds has basically offered to pay back the amount of commission and profit share that was above 50% of the PPI premium - and I think that offer is fair in this case.

I've thought about everything Mr Y has said - including what he has said about having limited knowledge of the language. But Mr Y was signing for a significant financial commitment with the credit card so if he was unsure about what he was agreeing to he could have decided not to take the card. So these points don't change my decision.

**what the business needs to do**

Lloyds has to pay back to Mr Y any commission and profit share it got that was more than 50% of the PPI premium. Lloyds should also pay back to Mr Y any extra interest he paid because of that.

Lloyds should re-work the credit card account and pay back to Mr Y the difference between what he owes and what he would've owed if the commission and profit share it got hadn't been over 50% of the cost of the PPI. Lloyds should also pay Mr Y 8%\* simple interest if Mr Y paid off his credit card at some point.

**my final decision**

The PPI policy wasn't mis-sold – so Lloyds Bank Plc does not have to pay back all of the cost of the PPI to Mr Y.

But Lloyds Bank Plc does have to pay back to Mr Y any commission and profit share it got that was more than 50% of the PPI premium.

Under the rules of the Financial Ombudsman Service, I am required to ask Mr Y to accept or reject my decision before 16 March 2018.

Jag Dhuphar  
**ombudsman**

\*Businesses have to take basic rate tax off this interest. Mr Y can claim back the tax if he doesn't pay tax.